UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,906	06/07/2007	Bernd Jung	0070681-000034 2365	
	7590 04/21/2011 ANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	ZIMMER, MARC S		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/560,906	JUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARC S. ZIMMER	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this or 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ag	oril 2011.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
· ·					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 10-25 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	p	(2) 2: (.)-			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage		
application from the International Bureau	•		9 -		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	d.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2 Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Cther:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-14, 16-23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okami et al., U.S. Patent # 5,216,104.

It is now noted that Example 2 anticipates every element of claims 10, 13, 14, 17-23, and 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okami et al., U.S. Patent # 5,216,104.

Example 2 does not indicate what is the Si-Vinyl:SiH but column 4, lines 64-68 contemplate adding enough of the organohydrogensiloxane so as to provide ratios preferably from 1:1 to 3:1.

As for the separation of the composition of into multiple parts where at the crosslinking agent is segregated from the platinum catalyst, this is widely practiced so

Art Unit: 1765

as to ensure the storage stability of the materials and, thus, it would be obvious to store the composition of the prior art in a similar fashion to realize a similar benefit.

Claims 10-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozai et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0220448 in view of Okami, U.S. Patent # 5,206,104 for the reasons outlined previously.

Based on the Examiner's previous remarks, Applicant has filed a response that includes a copy of the product data sheet for Rhodorsil® RT Gel 8260. However, the description of the makeup of the gel-forming composition offered by the data sheet is so vague that none of the Examiner's concerns have been alleviated.

Surely, Applicant appreciates that, in order for it to be possible to be able to identify the source of the differences in the performance characteristics between the Example and the Comparative Example, the Examiner must know as much about the two compositions as possible. The supplied product data sheet does not assist the Examiner at all in this regard so perhaps the following more pointed questions will help Applicant better understand what is needed for a proper comparison:

(i) The Specification says of the polyorganohydrogensiloxane component that it is a "poly(dimethylsiloxy)(siloxymethylhydro)- α , ω - dimethylhydrosiloxy oil... with a viscosity of 10 mPa's and comprising 5-10% by weight of SiH groups". **Note: this, in itself, is a fairly imprecise characterization of this component. It is not clear why Applicant shouldn't be able to provide an exact hydrosilyl group weight contribution figure for the particular sample used in this trial.**

Application/Control Number: 10/560,906

Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

The product data sheet gives none of these details about the corresponding organohydrogensiloxane of Rhodorsil® RT Gel 8260. Does it have the same viscosity and also the same SiH group content? If not, how can the Examiner be sure that the differences in properties are not attributable to this distinction?

- (ii) The Specification indicates that the vinyl-functional polysiloxane is one that has, "a viscosity of 300 mPa's comprising approximately 0.1% by weight vinyl groups. The product data sheet gives none of these details about the corresponding vinyl-functional polysiloxane of Rhodorsil® RT Gel 8260. Does it have the same viscosity and also the same vinyl group content? Does it have the same placement of the vinyl groups, i.e. at the terminals as opposed to pendant to interior silicon atoms? If not, how can the Examiner be sure that the differences in properties are not attributable to this distinction?
- (iii) Is the Si-Vi:SiH ratio the same in Rhodorsil® RT Gel 8260? If not, how can the Examiner be sure that the differences in properties are not attributable to this distinction?

It is the hope of the Examiner that Applicant will now better comprehend what kind of information would be necessary for the Examiner to be able to ascertain what exactly is driving the performance differences.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,906 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

Because the Examiner is now holding most of the claims as anticipated over

Okami alone, the rejection will not be made final. It is sincerely regretted that Applicant had not been afforded the opportunity to address this matter earlier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARC S. ZIMMER whose telephone number is (571)272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.